Dr Caroline Day reports on the European Association for the Study of Diabetes 56th annual meeting, aka VM2020

Introduction
The 56th Annual EASD meeting was due to be held in Vienna, but hopes of attending a conference in this cultural capital were dashed by the unstoppable advance and insidious occupation of the continent of Europe by COVID-19. Thus, for the first time the EASD annual meeting was located exclusively in cyberspace. Whilst this change of venue undoubtedly impacted negatively on businesses associated with the conference industry, the environmental benefits should not be underestimated. Indeed, the meeting was even more popular with 20,139 delegates (14,562 in 2019) from 141 countries, but the UK contingency dropped to 4th place with 957 delegates (988 in 2019), behind Brazil, Germany and Mexico.

Abstracts and access
Accessing an online flipbook from the virtual meeting or downloading a 310 page pdf of the final programme via your MyEASD account makes for easy navigation of the event (put your key word in the search bar and get directed to the smorgasbord of your silo). Industry sponsored sessions (n=46) and non-commercial symposia (n=13) were also delivered online throughout the meeting, but the virtual exhibition (which you could stroll through as an avatar) closed at the end of the meeting and is no longer accessible. This year’s abstracts 1–264 and 265–977 were presented in 48 oral and 6 poster sessions, respectively. Abstracts, electronic posters and oral presentations can be viewed via the EASD virtual meeting.

Highlights
As usual it was worth dropping in on the prize lectures (Table 1), and this year moving between ‘must see’ simultaneous sessions was swift and sweat-free (a brief cardiorespiratory workout being unnecessary). Trials which warranted devoted sessions at EASD are listed in Table 2.

**LIBERATES** recruited 141 insulin and/or sulfonylurea-treated type 2 diabetes patients within 5 days of a myocardial infarction (MI) and assigned them (1:1) to either interstitial Flash glucose monitoring or conventional self-monitoring of blood glucose for 3 months. There was no difference in HbA1c between patients using either monitoring system, although Flash monitoring improved glycaemic time in range, significantly lowered the risk of hypoglycaemia and was associated with higher treatment satisfaction.

The session on the STEP programme described the four studies undertaken to evaluate the efficacy and safety of once-weekly semaglutide (2.4 mg sc) in over 5,000 overweight/obese people with (STEP 2) and without type 2 diabetes. Over 68 weeks, semaglutide-treated subjects lost significantly more weight, but those with type 2 diabetes only lost 9.6% of their body weight from baseline whereas non-diabetic subjects lost 14.9–17.4%.

**The cardiovascular outcome trial (CVOT) VERTIS-CV initially reported at ADA 2020 (ertugliflozin was non-inferior – but not statistically superior – to usual care in reducing the composite endpoint of CV death, MI or...**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Award lectures at EASD 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prize</td>
<td>Lecturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52nd Claude Bernard Lecture</td>
<td>Takashi Kadowaki Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35th Camillo Golgi Lecture</td>
<td>Naveed Sattar UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th Albert Renold Lecture</td>
<td>Guy A Rutter UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th EASD-Novo Nordisk Foundation Diabetes Prize for Excellence</td>
<td>Jens C Bruning Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55th Minkowski Lecture</td>
<td>Gian P Fadini Italy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Initial trials at ESC 2020, noted that dapagliflozin treatment initially at ESC 2020, in patients with estab-
lished heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFREF) noted a reduction in the annual rate of decline in eGFR in subjects tak-
ing empagliflozin. Empagliflozin significantly reduced hospitalisation for HF, reducing the risk in subjects with and without diabetes by 28% and 22%, respectively – observations similar to those reported in DAPA-HF.

The newer non-insulin glucose-lowering agents – namely, the sodium glucose co-

transporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) and incretins – continued to create particular interest. The EASD/ESC Symposium considered the learn-
ings since the first SGLT2i CVOT reported at EASD 2015 in Stockholm, and an oral session put these agents “at the heart of the matter” (OP21, Abstracts 121–126), as did several posters. For example, poster sessions 43 and 44 (Abstracts 557–576) provided further analyses of the CVOTs and gave mechanistic insights, including SGLT2i-induced renoprotection. There were similar sub-analyses and observations regarding incretin-based ther-

apies (PS 45–48, Abstracts 577–609) including suggestions of renoprotection. In the light of increasing information on the actions of newer agents, especially secondary prevention of CV disease, the question arises, which guidelines should be followed? ESC or EASD/ADA? Friday's Michael Berger Debate offers interesting perspectives.

The future

EASD 2021 is scheduled to be held in Sweden on 27 September to 1 October. Hope-

fully next year we will be able to choose between virtual or actual attendance. Where are we more likely to meet? The sofa (socially distanced) or Stockholm?
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The British Journal of Diabetes Quality Improvement in Diabetes Award 2021

The British Journal of Diabetes, in conjunction with the Association of British Clinical Diabetologists (ABCD) and the Young Diabetologist and Endocrinologist Forum (YDEF) are pleased to announce the launch of our inaugural quality improvement in diabetes award programme.

Have you changed your service recently?

Have you got evidence that the changes you have implemented have improved outcomes for your patients?

Do you think other services would benefit from sharing your knowledge or adopting similar approaches?

We are asking for submissions of write-ups of quality improvement projects from teams around the country. Although aimed primarily at trainees (specialist registrars), all members of the diabetes MDT or those in internal medicine training (IMT) should feel free to submit their work.

This is a fantastic opportunity to share your work, gain publication and potentially win an award. A prize of £250 each as well as publication in the British Journal of Diabetes has been made available for the top 3 quality-improvement projects.

WHAT DO WE ASK?

• Write-up of your quality improvement project following the format here: http://square-statement.org/index.cfm?fuseaction=Page.ViewPage&PageID=471

• Deadline: 28th February 2021

Any questions please e-mail: T.Crabtree@nhs.net