ENHIDE telehealth support for 148 disengaged young adults with type 1 diabetes: a pilot study – rationale and study design

PETER H WINOCOUR, ANDREW SOLOMON, ANNE CURRIE, DAWN HARDY, KAREN MOORE-HAINES, CLAIRE RENSHAW

Abstract

Disengaged young adults with type 1 diabetes are vulnerable to poor health outcomes. Potentially 20% of those aged 16–30 years could be included in this category. East and North Herts Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) commissioned the East and North Herts Institute of Diabetes and Endocrinology (ENHIDE) to pilot an innovative model of care in August 2016. Young adults aged 16–30 were offered an alternative model of tailored care, with access to a young adult support worker and specialist nurse. Inclusion in the project was based on fulfilling at least one of the following criteria:

- Acute admission with diabetic ketoacidosis or hypoglycaemia
- Non-attendance for retinal screening
- Non-attendance at clinics on at least two consecutive occasions
- Persistent HbA_{1c} levels >75 mmol/mol
- Non-attendance for routine laboratory measures of glycaemia and renal function
- Multidisciplinary team review stating need for more flexible care

We invited 148 young adults to participate in the project. Of these, 118 have been recruited after the initial contact, markedly exceeding the 10% take-up rate set by the CCG. The project will evaluate changes in emergency admissions, attendance for routine biochemical tests and retinal screening, changes in glycaemic control and quality of life measures at 6 and 12 months after entry to the project

Br J Diabetes 2018;18:154-162

Key words: young adults, type 1 diabetes, telehealth, disengaged

ENHIDE (East and North Herts Institute of Diabetes and Endocrinology), Howlands Clinic, QEII Hospital, Welwyn Garden City, Herts AL7 4HQ, UK

Address for correspondence: Dr Peter H Winocour

ENHIDE (East and North Herts Institute of Diabetes and Endocrinology), Howlands Clinic, QEII Hospital, Welwyn Garden City, Herts AL7 4HQ, UK E-mail: peter.winocour@nhs.net

https://doi.org/10.15277/bjd.2018.191

Background

Clinical management and self-care of young adults with type 1 diabetes is challenging. There is an evident need for effective transitional care of those aged 16–19 years, but also recognition of issues with transfer of care to adult services.^{1–3} There are more adults aged 19–30 with type 1 diabetes – including those who have developed their condition after the age of paediatric care – than those aged 16–19.¹

Several studies have clearly demonstrated the multifaceted nature of issues that impact on attendance at clinics for young adults, adherence to self-care, hospitalisation with diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA), high levels of HbA_{1c} and early onset complications, most notably retinopathy. These include psychosocial factors such as socioeconomic status, parental separation and lack of family cohesiveness, unemployment, and psychological and mental health factors including eating disorders and substance abuse.^{4,5} In addition, there is clear evidence that a proportion of young adults living with type 1 diabetes do not find current models of care provide services they wish to access.⁶

Less engaged patients have poor glycaemic control, the highest rates of DKA admissions and non-attendance at clinics, and the greatest incidence of complications.^{7,8} Certain models using nondirect face-to-face communication using Skype and text-based support have provided benefits such as better clinical attendance and potentially fewer emergency admissions.^{9–13} These services have been offered to all patients attending diabetes clinics rather than selected patients with poor clinic attendance.⁹

Despite recent advances in diabetes care and more intensified insulin regimens, much higher levels of HbA_{1c} than are desirable persist.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ Furthermore, psychological interventions designed to help young people with type 1 diabetes have achieved disappointing results in respect of engagement, HbA_{1c} attainment and improved quality of life measures.¹⁷

By definition, it might be difficult to identify factors that account for dissatisfaction with standard care. However, this has recently been explored in a semi-structured interview with 29 young people with type 1 diabetes⁶ who fulfilled any of the following criteria: no HbA_{1c} test in preceding 12 months, non-attendance for retinal screening in the preceding 18 months, no contact with the specialist diabetes team for 12 months, or two or more hospital admissions with DKA in the past 2 years. The themes that emerged were:

- Feeling overwhelmed by having and managing type 1 diabetes
- Experience of bereavement
- Feeling different to peers without type 1 diabetes
- Trust previously broken by a health professional
- Embarrassment at discussing menstruation, personal issues and being examined by male doctors
- Length of time and inconvenience attending clinic appointments
- Concern they were being 'blamed' for ineffective self-management

In East and North Hertfordshire there are approximately 800 people with type 1 diabetes aged 16–30. Surveys of our transition and young adult clinics in 2014 recorded a 23% drop-out rate after 2 years follow-up.¹⁸ In 2016 there were 52 young adults aged 16–30 with at least one DKA admission over an 18-month period identified from Hospital Episode Statistics. The last recorded median value of HbA_{1c} available in 49 cases was 93 mmol/mol. Eighteen of these were disengaged from regular care. Our DKA admission rates equate to a 4.3% annual event frequency, in line with UK and international reports, with the highest incidence being amongst those aged 18–24.^{8,19,20}

The East and North Herts Diabetes Retinal Screening programme in 2016 identified 66 young adults aged 16–30 who had not attended retinal screening. This is a serious concern, given the link with variable engagement and the recognition that the incidence of sight-threatening retinal disease and blindness is especially high below the age of 40.^{14,15}

The ENHIDE Young Adult Telehealth Pilot Study

The 2-year project was designed to enable at least 12 months review of the impact of supported care on the outcome measures. The East and North Herts Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) provided financial support for the core personnel and for IT support. The project was set up in August 2016, with full recruitment of the team by the end of October 2016.

Outline of patient selection

The project was designed to provide an alternative care model for those identified as 'disengaged'. This categorisation is not a binary definition in that young adults with diabetes can engage with certain aspects of routine care on an intermittent basis.

Consequently, inclusion in the telehealth service for individuals aged 16–30 was based on meeting at least one of the following criteria in the 2 years prior to project entry:

- Admission with diabetic ketoacidosis or hypoglycaemia
- Non-attendance for retinal screening
- Non-attendance at diabetes clinics on at least two consecutive occasions
- Persistent HbA_{1c} levels >75 mmol/mol
- Non-attendance for routine laboratory measures of glycaemia and renal function
- Multidisciplinary team review stating the need for a more flexible system of supported care.

Young adults aged 16–30 years in East and North Herts were identified from outpatient and inpatient hospital registers, the Diabetes Retinal Screening register and individual patients identified from GP practice registers. All 55 general practices in East and North Herts were invited to participate. GP practices were informed about the project via a GP bulletin. The CCG made practices aware of the project time scales, aims and objectives and offered a financial incentive (£200) for participation.

Young adults aged 16–30 admitted with DKA and/or hypoglycaemia were prioritised for immediate entry along with potential disengaged cases from specialist diabetes services. All general practices were contacted to create a cross-referenced list enabling updates of those currently registered at the practice with type 1 diabetes aged 16–30. This enabled documentation on whether individuals had changed practices, or had been admitted to other acute units within the preceding 2 years, and helped identify lack of key biomedical data within the preceding 2 years. We also identified additional psychosocial, employment information and other issues.

Young adults who no longer lived in the East and North Herts catchment area were excluded from consideration to participate in the study.

The telehealth team

The telehealth project team comprised two consultant diabetologists who provided a total of two sessions weekly for this project, two Band 7 diabetes specialist nurses (DSNs) who provided a total of five full days a week, a half-time project manager and a whole-time young adult support worker (YASW).

Development of the young adult telehealth protocol

The telehealth team initially identified three pilot practices from three separate localities in East and North Herts. A detailed baseline proforma (Figure 1), which included an individualised care plan, was completed and agreed by the telehealth team. All young adults identified for the project were invited to participate by letter (Figure 2) which was tailored to their individual needs. Those still under transitional care were invited to participate by the paediatric department.

We trialled methods of delivering the invitation: attached alongside the repeat insulin prescription, hand delivered to home addresses or via the Practice Nurse. The young adult was given 5 days to 'opt out' of the project before the team made contact.

We asked primary care and young adults from the three 'pilot' practices for suggested changes to this process. Subsequently we hand delivered invitations to the home addresses. This gave the team the opportunity to speak to the young adults in person if they were at home, and the process was repeated 8–12 weeks later if no contact had been achieved.

Ongoing project delivery

After initial contact, participants were asked to complete a 'Problem Areas in Diabetes' (PAID) Questionnaire used in the DAWN study²¹ and eight questions from The Diabetes Wellbeing Questionnaire used by the National Paediatric Diabetes Network (Figure 3).

Day-to-day support was provided by the DSNs and the YASW. After initial input, the consultant role remained supervisory, other

TeleHealth Young Adult (Baseline Form)	Addressograph Name: Date of Birth: Gender: Male Female NHS Number: Hospital Number:]
Practice Name	Date diagnosed://	Date Completed://
Patient Care managed by: Acute Care [Primary care Cor	nmunity Team 🗌
Safeguarding Issues? *Yes No	N/A T*State	
Weight:	BMI:	
Reasons for inclusion on the Teleheal	th project?	
Admitted to hospital with a diabetes eme DKA Hypo *Other *state	ergency within the past 2 years?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Attended an annual diabetes eye check?		Yes No
Diabetes blood test taken in the past 15	months?	Yes No
Micro albuminuria tested in the past 15 r	nonths?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Not attended 2 consecutive appointments for diabetes clinics (in hospital or community diabetes service) in last 2yrs or discharged.		Yes 🗌 No 🗍
HbA1c higher than 75 mmol/mol?		Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Would benefit from a more flexible mean	is of supporting diabetes care?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Psychosocial Domestic Status:		
Under Paed Clinical Psychology/CAMH Comments: Under IAPT/Adult Psychiatry? No		clined N/A NK
Comments:		
Social status? Living with parents Livin	ig with single parent 📋 Living alone	Parents Divorced
Parents Separated Living with friends/H	ouse Share No Fixed Abode	
Divorced In a relationship Living wi	th partner 🗌 Married 🗌 Separate	d Single Widowed
At School/Uni? Yes No Wo	orking? "Yes No "If Yes Occup	ation
Exercise? Low Moderate High	Alcohol? None C < 5 units C	6-15 units 📄 🔹 > 16units 🗋
CARDON CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	vious smoker Never N/K	ational Drugs? Yes 🗌 No 🗍 N/K 🗌
Additional Information		

	Patient Tests/Results:
1.	HbA1c a) Checked in past 15 months? Yes No b) Result
2.	eGFR a) Checked in past 15 months? Yes No b) Result <60 Yes No concerned by Result <60 Yes Stable St
3.	ACR a) Checked in past 15 months? Yes No b) Result >10 Yes No c) Result d) Date: <u>MM_/YY</u> e) Deteriorating Variable Stable
4.	Blood Pressure: a) Checked in past 15 months? Yes No b) Result c) Date: MM / YY d) <130/75 Yes
5.	Lipids a) Checked in past 15 months? Yes No b) Date: MM / YY c) Total Cholesterol d) HDL e) Non HDL f) Treatment N/a
6.	Feet a) Checked in past 15 months? Yes No b) Date: MM YY c) Under Podiatry Y N NK d) Neuropathy Yes No NK e) Peripheral Vascular Disease Yes No NK f) Ulceration Yes No NK
7.	Eyes a) Checked in past 15 months? Yes No b) Date: MM YY c) Retinopathy Yes No d) Eye Right Left Both e) Background Retinopathy Maculopathy Proliferative Retinopathy f) Under Ophthalmology Yes
8.	Hypo Enquiry a) Checked in past 15 months? Yes No No b) Recent Hypos Yes No C c) Severe Acute Hypoglycaemic Episode in last yearNK C
9.	Offered structured education? Yes No NK b) Attended Education *Yes No conting *Other
10.	Any Pre Conception care counselling? *Yes No N/A
11.	Recent admission to hospital with foot problem?
12.	Recent admission to hospital NOT diabetes related?
Yout	will make initial engagement with the patient? Consultant GP practice DSN PDSN h Worker Psychology Other Name of person
-	health DSN: – Insulin Dose Adjustment Course

'Problem Areas in Diabetes' questionnaire completed?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
Wellbeing in Diabetes' questionnaire completed?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
Young adult agreed priorities		
Criteria to demonstrate improvement		
Avoidance of hospital admissions		
Improve mental well being		
Obtain HbA1c < 75 mmol/mol		
Attend annual diabetes eye check		
☐ Have bloods taken		
Have micro albuminuria taken		
Improve engagement with HCP via clinics/telehealth		
Other information		



than review of cases admitted with ketoacidosis or hypoglycaemia. Consultant reviews were offered at patients' request. In addition to the goals the patient wished to achieve by being part of the project, an individual care plan was also agreed by the team.

The key role for the YASW was to help participants navigate around medical, social and employment services, to ensure access for support for low-grade mood disorders provided by Hertfordshire County Council and national services such as the 'Big White Wall' and Samaritans, and to improve access to cognitive behavioural therapy. Individuals requiring behavioural support were identified based on responses to the PAID or Wellbeing questionnaires. Services were accessed by self-referral or via the project team. In addition, the YASW provided moral support and basic diabetes advice, and access to smoking cessation programmes (Table 1).

The YASW and the DSNs had discussion with the mental health team to ensure access to clinical psychology and/or psychiatric care for complex mental health issues.

We collaborated with the retinal screening team to offer more flexible screening times at weekends and evenings, offering DSN review to coincide with the time of eye screening.

The DSNs and YASW used text, telephone and Skype communication with young adults who requested such support. The timings for access were mutually agreed, enabling contacts at weekend and outside working hours.

Clinical support from the DSNs enabled conversion to peakless basal insulin such as Degludec, use of meters with apps, and readier access to downloading blood glucose readings using DIASEND. A subgroup of individuals with recurrent DKA and/or no record of blood glucose monitoring were selected by the DSNs to have FreeStyle Libre Flash glucose monitoring for a minimum of 1 month to better support self-care, recognition of glycaemia, and need for more effective use of insulin to match carbohydrate intake. This enabled the DSNs to support changes to insulin regimens where nocturnal hypoglycaemic events were documented, or enable carbohydrate counting with insulin bolus advisors.

Use of smart phone applications such as 'Carbs and Cals' was encouraged. Dietetic support was offered through a 3-hour carbohy-drate counting course or through more structured education.

All data and contacts were captured on our diabetes data management system after initial baseline documentation was captured using a standardised proforma (Figure 1). Hospital admissions of those included in the study were identified through the Diabetes Outreach Team.

Monthly case reviews by the project team were established to assess adherence to the programme. Quarterly HbA_{1c} measurements were arranged if individual patients were agreeable. Information on the clinical impact and engagement of the patient throughout the project lifecycle was recorded at 6 and 12 months after inception, enabling direct comparison with baseline data to monitor project success.

The original CCG support was contingent on attainment of the key performance indicators in those recruited in this pilot study. These included:

- The proportion of the young adults taking up the offer of support: a minimum of 10% set as a goal
- Baseline and post service changes in individualised care plan improvements
- Ambulance call-outs for young adults with hypoglycaemia reduced by 20%
- Reduction in DKA admissions by 20%

Figure 3. Young Adult Wellbeing Patient Questionnaire

	Not a problem	Minor Problem	Moderate Problem	Somewhat serious Problem	Serious Problem
1. Not having clear and concrete goals for your diabetes care?	0	1	2	3	4
2. Feeling discouraged with your diabetes treatment plan?	0	1	2	3	4
3. Feeling scared when you think about living with diabetes?	0	1	2	3	4
4. Uncomfortable social situations related to your diabetes care (e.g., people telling you what to eat)?	0	1	2	3	4
5. Feelings of deprivation regarding food and meals?	0	1	2	3	4
6. Feeling depressed when you think about living with diabetes?	0	1	2	3	4
Not knowing if your mood or feelings are related to your diabetes?	0	1	2	3	4
8. Feeling overwhelmed by your diabetes?	0	1	2	3	4
9. Worrying about low blood sugar reactions?	0	1	2	3	4
10. Feeling angry when you think about living with diabetes?	0	1	2	3	4
11. Feeling constantly concerned about food and eating?	0	1	2	3	4
12. Worrying about the future and the possibility of serious complications?	0	1	2	3	4
13. Feelings of guilt or anxiety when you get off track with your diabetes management?	0	1	2	3	4
14. Not "accepting" your diabetes?	0	1	2	3	4
15. Feeling unsatisfied with your diabetes physician?	0	1	2	3	4
16. Feeling that diabetes is taking up too much of your mental and physical energy every day?	0	1	2	3	4
17. Feeling alone with your diabetes?	0	1	2	3	4
18. Feeling that your friends and family are not supportive of your diabetes management efforts?	0	1	2	3	4
19. Coping with complications of diabetes?	0	1	2	3	4
20. Feeling "burned out" by the constant effort needed to manage diabetes?	0	1	2	3	4
 There have been changes in my usual eating patterns or appetite 	0	1	2	3	4
22. I have been feeling more sad / lower in mood than usual	0	1	2	3	4
23. I have been worrying or feeling nervous more than usual	0	1	2	3	4
24. I have found things at home more difficult than usual	0	1	2	3	4
25. I have found doing school / college work more difficult than usual	0	1	2	3	4
26. I have found getting on with friends / other pupils / students more difficult than usual	0	1	2	3	4
27. I have found doing what is needed to look after the diabetes more difficult than usual e.g. injections, blood tests, carb counts etc	0	1	2	3	4
28. I am worried about how we are coping as a family	0	1	2	3	4

Table 1 Local and national support services signposted by young adult support worker

Local services	National services
FRANK Adolescent Drugs and Alcohol Service Herts (online drugs advice)	Diabetes UK
Mind in Mid Herts (mental health support)	JDRF (online type 1 diabetes support)
IFST (Intensive Family Support Team)	Carbs and Cals (online, books and APPS)
Youth Connexions (mental health, sexual health, education and employment support)	Young Minds (child and adolescent mental health support)
YMCA (support with health and wellbeing, homelessness, family support, training, education and youth work)	Self-harm UK (online support)
The Wellbeing Service Herts (mental health support)	Kooth (children, adolescent online counselling support)
Rapid Assessment Interface and Discharge (RAID) (mental health in patient liaison service)	Samaritans (Helpline)
LGBT*Q in Hertfordshire (lesbian, gay, bisexual, trans and questioning support, advice and events)	Beat (beating eating disorders)
A-Dash (Adolescent & Alcohol Service Herts)	Brook (eating disorder support
Sexual health clinics	British Dyslexia Association (online support)
Herts Young & Homeless	Change 4 Life (exercise & diet)
Herts CAMHS (Child Adolescent Mental Health Service)	
Dyslexia Herts	Rethink Mental Health (online support)
Herts Help (range of different support)	Sane (mental health helpline)
Smoke Free Hertfordshire	PALMS (positive behaviour, autism, learning disability and mental health)
Herts Sunflower (Herts domestic abuse helpline)	The Big White Wall (online counselling)

- Improved quality of life measures
- Feedback on patient experience
- The project did not commence without challenges:
- Only 70% of the 55 practices expressed interest in supporting the project and providing additional information on patients who met the project criteria; the response was lower from those practices on the fringes of the CCG catchment area.
- Contact details in acute and primary care information systems were not always up to date, raising concern that those considered disengaged may not have received regular communication both prior to and during the initial phase of the pilot study. This became apparent in approximately 40% of cases when a successful second home visit with direct contact identified changes in mobile phone numbers.
- Absence of blood testing often reflected lack of willingness to wait in lengthy queues in the pathology department.
- Face-to-face contact was limited to certain locations on grounds of safety and data protection.

Current status

Initially the project anticipated there would be 200 eligible young adults from the start of recruitment in October 2016. At completion of recruitment in September 2017, 148 young adults not under acute care elsewhere fulfilled criteria for participation.

The majority (n=120) were on basal bolus insulin regimens, 23 were on 1–3 daily injections using pre-mixed and rapid acting



- Young adults with type 1 diabetes are frequently disengaged from current models of care
- A new tailored approach for their care is being piloted in 118 out of 148 suitable people, reflecting a high level of initial interest
- Over a 1-year follow-up period we will evaluate impact on hospitalisation, take-up of routine laboratory blood and urine testing and retinal screening, metabolic control and quality of life

insulin and five were on insulin pumps. Overall, 80% (n=118) responded to the invitation to participate in the project, which was staggered over the period from October 2016 to September 2017. Data capture at 6 and 12 months after initiation will enable review of the project outcomes towards the end of 2018.

The ENHIDE young adult telehealth pilot project was designed as a collaborative exercise between primary and specialist care. We have demonstrated in the establishment of the programme that this is both feasible and necessary. If successful, the economic cost per case supported (less than £1000 annually covering the salary costs of the telehealth team) would be measured against the short-term benefits from reduced unscheduled hospital admissions and better use of clinic appointments, and the potential longer-term savings through reduced diabetes complications.

Conflict of interest: None

Funding: This project was funded by The East and North Herts Clinical Commissioning Group with additional support from an unrestricted medical educational grant from Sanofi Aventis towards DSN salary costs.

References

- 1. Winocour PH. Care of adolescents and young adults with diabetes much more than transitional care. A personal view. *Clin Med* 2014;**14**: 274–8. https://doi.org/10.7861/clinmedicine.14-3-274
- Allen D, Cohen D, Hood K, *et al.* Continuity of care in the transition from child to adult diabetes services: a realistic evaluation study. *J Health Serv Res Policy* 2012;**17**:140–8. https://doi.org/10.1258/jhsrp.2011.011044.
- Garvey KC, Wolpert HA, Finkelstein JA. Heath care transition in young adults with type 1 diabetes. Barriers to timely establishment of adult diabetes care. *Endocrine Pract* 2013;**189**:946–52. https://doi.org/10.4158/EP13109.OR
- Wagner DV, Stoeckel M, Tudor ME, Harris MA. Treating the most vulnerable and costly in diabetes. *Curr Diab Rep* 2015;**15**:606. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11892-015-0606-5
- Hynes L, Byrne M, Dinneen SF, McGuire BE, O'Donnell M, McSharry J. Barriers and facilitators associated with attendance at hospital diabetes clinics among young adults (15–30 years) with type 1 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. *Pediatr Diabetes* 2016;**17**:509–18. https://doi.org/10.1111/pedi.12198
- Smeaton E. Bridging the gap: meeting the healthcare needs of young people with type 1 diabetes. Report for Diabetes UK, 2015.
- Castensoe-Seidenfaden P, Jensen AK, Smedegaard H, et al. Clinical, behavioural and social indicators for poor glycaemic control around transfer to adult care: a longitudinal study of 126 young people with diabetes. Diabet Med 2017;34:667–75. https://doi.org/10.1111/dme.13318
- Holmes Walker DJ, Llewellyn AC, Farrell K. A transition care programme which improves diabetes control and reduces hospital admission rates in young adults with type 1 diabetes aged 15–25 years. *Diabet Med* 2007;**24**:764–9. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-5491.2007.02152.x
- Vijayaraghavan S, O'Shea T, Campbell-Richards D, et al. DAWN: Diabetes appointments via webcam in Newham. Br J Diabetes Vasc Dis 2015; 15:123–6. http://dx.doi.org/10.15277/bjdvd.2015.032
- Greenhalgh T, Vijayaraghavan S, Wherton J, et al. Virtual online consultations: advantages and limitations (VOCAL) study. BMJ Open 2016;6(1):e009388. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-009388

- Malasanos TH, Burlingame JB, Youngblade L, et al. Improved access to subspecialist diabetes care by telemedicine: cost savings and care measures in the first two years of the FITE diabetes project. J Telemed Telecare 2005;11(Suppl 1):74–6. https://doi.org/10.1258/1357633054461624
- Harris MA, Freeman KA, Duke DC. Seeing is believing: using Skype to improve diabetes outcomes in youth. *Diabetes Care* 2015;**38**:1427–34. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc14-2469
- Franklin VL, Waller A, Pagliari C, Greene SA. A randomized controlled trial of Sweet Talk, a text-messaging system to support young people with diabetes. *Diabet Med* 2006;23:1332–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-5491.2006.01989.x
- Johnson B, Elliott J, Scott A, et al. Medical and psychological outcomes for young adults with type 1 diabetes: no improvement despite recent advances in diabetes care. *Diabet Med* 2014;**31**:227–31. https://doi.org/10.1111/dme.12305
- Carlsen S, Skrivarhaug T, Thue G, et al. Glycemic control and complications in patients with type 1 diabetes: a registry-based longitudinal study of adolescents and young adults. *Pediatr Diabetes* 2016;**18**:188–95. https://doi.org/10.1111/pedi.12372
- 16. Sildorf SM, Hertel NT, Thomsen J, et al, on behalf of the Danish Study Group of Diabetes in Children. Treatment intensification with improved HbA1c levels in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus. Diabet Med 2016;**33**:515–22. https://doi.org/10.1111/dme.12900
- Christie D, Thompson R, Sawtell M, et al. Effectiveness of a structured educational intervention using psychological delivery methods in children and adolescents with poorly controlled type 1 diabetes: a clusterrandomized controlled trial of the CASCADE intervention. BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care 2016;4(1):e000165. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjdrc-2015-000165
- 18. Winocour PH. Transition or transfer of care of young adults with diabetes: more than semantics and a challenge for adult diabetes care? Poster Presentation at 'Transition: developmentally appropriate care for young people with long term conditions'. RCP London Meeting, October 2015.
- Maahs DM, Hermann JM, Holman N, et al. Rates of diabetic ketoacidosis: international comparison with 49,859 pediatric patients with type 1 diabetes from England, Wales, the US, Austria and Germany. Diabetes Care 2015;38:1876–82. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc15-0780
- Zhong VW, Juhaeri J, Mayer-Davies EJ. Trends in hospital admission for diabetic ketoacidosis in adults with type 1 and type 2 diabetes in England, 1998–2013: a retrospective cohort study. *Diabetes Care* 2018; 41:1870–7. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc17-1583
- Peyrot M, Rubin RR, Lauritzen T, et al, on behalf of the International DAWN Advisory Panel. Psychosocial problems and barriers to improved diabetes management: results of the Cross-National Diabetes Attitudes, Wishes and Needs (DAWN) study. *Diabet Med* 2005;**22**:1379–85. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-5491.2005.01644.x

Diary dates 2019

6 February	ABCD Yorkshire Regional Diabetes Event York Racecourse https://abcd.care/events/abcd-yorkshire-regional-diabetes-event	16 May	ABCD DTN-UK Meeting 2019 Loughborough University https://abcd.care/events/abcd-dtn-uk-meeting-2019	
13 February	4th Joint Meeting of ABCD and the Renal Association NEC, Birmingham https://abcd.care/events/4th-joint-meeting-abcd-renal-association	16/17 May	ABCD Spring Meeting Loughborough University https://abcd.care/events/abcd-spring-meeting-2019	
14 February	Royal College of Physicians, London			
	https://www.bioscientifica.com/event-management/our- events/obesity-update-2019/	For other meetings see https://www.abcd.care/events		
			5	