Should PDE5Is be prescribed routinely for all men with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes?
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Abstract
Diabetes and erectile dysfunction are closely associated. It is good that there is now more awareness of the issue, especially given the strong link to heightened cardiovascular risk. This article challenges current practice and explores the routine use of phosphodiesterase inhibitors.
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Introduction
I present to my GP as a 53-year-old man with type 2 diabetes (T2DM), HbA1c 6.6 (IFCC 48%), slightly overweight (body mass index 28.5), BP 125/80, total cholesterol 5.1. I am otherwise fit with an excellent marriage. My sex life is OK but not what it used to be and I am peeing a lot more and stream not what it was. My GP commences me on ramipril and atorvastatin but I am not asked about my erections, despite NICE advice, so I ask my GP what the diagnosis of T2DM means for my erectile function. He seems very surprised to be asked and offers reassurance.

The reality is that I have a 70% chance of developing erectile dysfunction (ED) with a 55% chance (at best) of responding to oral therapy by the time I am offered treatment. I point out that my father had diabetes and suffered leg ulcers and also had an enlarged prostate and required two transurethral resections of the prostate (TURPs) in his 60s. He also had poor renal function in later life. I have read that, as a newly diagnosed man with T2DM, I have a 30% chance of neuropathy and 32% increased risk of lower urinary tract symptoms/benign prostatic hyperplasia (LUTS/BPH).

I tell my GP that I have been doing some reading on the subject and, in addition to the ACE inhibitor (ACEI) and statin, which I anticipate will have no immediate benefit on my health, I ask if I could be prescribed an angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) instead of an ACEI because of the high level evidence that ARBs improve erectile function, which is an important issue for me – he declines. I ask if I could have my testosterone level measured as I have read that 40% of men with T2DM have low testosterone, and he declines saying that this was not recommended in guidelines. I ask if I could be prescribed a phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitor (PDE5I) but he declines again.

The argument for routine use of PDE5Is
Sexual activity has been shown to reduce the risk of cardiovascular events and mortality, and regular erections and sexual activity have been shown to protect against ED. ED has been shown to be independently associated with both depression and reduced quality of life in diabetes. The ED prevalence in the primary care population is 70%, meaning effectively that those without ED have just not got it yet. The average UK man suffers 3 years before treatment. In clinical trials with unlimited medication under specialist control, response rates to ED therapy are at best 55-60%, due to the multiple pathologies involved in T2DM (Figure 1). Response rates are worse if access to medication is restricted through illogical legislation. NICE guidance stresses the importance of addressing these co-morbidities as well as prescribing the PDE5I with lowest acquisition costs.

**Economic issues**
Generic sildenafil costs approximately 20p at NHS tariff, meaning that twice weekly dosing would be £1.60 per month or £5.60 with daily dosing. Every patient in the 45% who subsequently fail with the PDE5I will cost £12-15 for each dose of second line therapy, such as alprostadil or MUSE, meaning £48-60 per month for sexual activity once per week or £96-£120 per month for activity twice per week. Each case will usually require secondary care referral at £120 with an average of three follow-up visits at £80 to teach the injection process. There is also a strong economic argument for...
measuring and correcting low testosterone levels to augment response to oral therapy as this will result in considerable savings compared with expensive second line medications. This is a particular issue in patients with chronic stable angina, where nitrate use prevents the use of PDE5Is, with secondary care referral being required for expensive second line therapies. As nitrates have no prognostic benefit in angina, changing to a newer anginal therapy to facilitate generic PDE5I use may be beneficial to the patient as well as being extremely cost effective.

Depression
The prevalence of depression in men with diabetes is around 25% and PDE5Is have been shown to improve depression scores both with and without antidepressants.

LUTS/BPH
Recent evidence has shown that LUTS/BPH is strongly linked to obesity, insulin resistance, pelvic atherosclerosis, inflammation and increased sympathetic activity. PDE5 inhibition improves many of these parameters and PDE5Is are now licensed to treat LUTS/BPH. As the effect is primarily through improved endothelial function, this is likely to reduce disease progression through modification of risk factors, whereas standard therapy with alpha-blockade has been repeatedly shown not to influence LUTS/BPH progression and to be associated with ejaculatory problems in around 30% of patients.

Cardio-prevention
Three studies have shown reduced all cause and cardiovascular mortality in men with coronary artery disease and T2DM. Several studies have shown benefits in angina and hypertension, as these were the original targets for PDE5Is. Beneficial mechanisms include improved endothelial function, enhanced cGMP and cAMP activity to counterbalance hypertrophic and pro-apoptotic signalling and enhanced post-ischaemic reperfusion. PDE5Is are clearly important cardiovascular drugs as they are first line treatment for primary pulmonary hypertension.

Diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN)
There are numerous case reports of improvement in neuropathic pain and paraesthesia with PDE5Is. Nitric oxide is the major neurotransmitter of the vasa nervorum, suggesting an important preventive role in microvascular complications. Currently, drugs used to treat established DPN effectively block pain pathways and frequently aggravate ED. There is huge potential for savings by the prevention of complications of DPN.

Diabetic nephropathy
The multiple potential benefits of PDEIs in renal disease are summarised in Figure 2 taken from Afzar et al. A recent double blind placebo control of sildenafil in obese men and women with type 2 diabetes showed a reduction in albumen creatinine

**Figure 2.** Mechanisms of nephroprotective effect of phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors

- **Hypertension**
  - Acute hypotensive effect
  - Chronic hypotensive effect
  - Add hypotensive effect to CCB, ACEI, ARB, diuretics

- **Ischaemia/Reperfusion Injury**
  - Prevent myocardial infarction
  - Prevent ischaemic stroke
  - Prevent peripheral vascular disease and kidney disease

- **Diabetes**
  - Reduce glomerulosclerosis
  - Reduce proteinuria
  - Improve in flow mediated dilatation

- **Toxic Nephropathy**
  - Protects contrast induced AKI
  - Protects cyclosporin toxicity
  - Protects on gentamycin and cisplatin induced nephropathy

---

NO=Nitric Oxide; GTP=Guanosine Triphosphate; cGMP=Cyclic Guanosine Monophosphate; CCB=Calcium Channel Blocker; ARB=Angiotensin Receptor Blocker; ACEI=Angiotensin Converting Enzyme Inhibitor; AKI=Acute Kidney Injury; TNF=Tumour Necrosis Factor; PKG=cGMP-dependent protein kinase

---
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Key messages

- The prevalence of erectile dysfunction (ED) in type 2 diabetes can be as high as 75%.
- The average UK patient suffers for over 3 years before seeking help and by then response rates are little better than 50%.
- By asking about ED and treating early with inexpensive PDE5Is, considerable savings will be made on expensive poorly tolerated second line medications.
- There is emerging evidence that PDE5Is may have considerable cardiovascular benefits in T2DM.

Summary

I could of course wait 10 years for a series of randomised clinical trials to establish these potential benefits, but it is likely that this news will arrive too late for me. In reality they are unlikely to be conducted as these drugs are now generic and there is no financial motivation to conduct what would be very expensive trials. I know that PDE5Is are now cheap, with a remarkable safety record over 16 years and benefits that will be evident almost immediately.

I have weighed up the evidence and have decided to take daily PDE5Is from the time of diagnosis, funding the £5.60 myself. I will give up my pint of lager and pork scratchings on Saturday night once a month to fund this. The effect of the PDE5Is might even make next Saturday night more enjoyable!

I have decided to have this conversation with all my male patients with T2DM so that they might be protected until the rest of the diabetes world comes to the logical conclusion of commencing the PDE5I at the same time as the statin and ACEI/ARB. I note that my GP practice leaflet states that they offer a personalised service in diabetes.
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