
Managing menopause in women with diabetes

ANNICE MUKHERJEE

Abstract

The impact of the current diabetes pandemic on the menopause experiences and health outcomes of women with diabetes is under-researched and poorly understood.

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) often emerges during midlife and, in women, frequently presents synchronously with menopause, which independently increases the cardiometabolic risk.

Recent interest in menopause has highlighted the lack of clinical evidence upon which to base menopause management recommendations for women with diabetes. Most evidence relating to safety and efficacy of menopause hormone therapy (MHT), the first-line treatment for menopause symptoms, is based mainly on Caucasian, socially advantaged women with low rates of co-morbidity. The dearth of data relating to MHT in women with diabetes means that much evidence for women with diabetes relies on extrapolation.

A nuanced and judicious approach to the management of menopause in women with diabetes and associated co-morbidities is, therefore, crucial.

This review focuses on the postmenopausal health risks in women with diabetes and the impact of different types of MHT. It highlights areas of uncertainties and unmet need in menopause care for this cohort of women.

Introduction

Rates of diabetes across the world continue to rise.¹ With improved diabetes treatments, life expectancy for people with diabetes is improving,² and the prevalence of postmenopausal women with diabetes is increasing. However, the number of postmenopausal women with diabetes is unknown, compounded by the fact that more than 44% of people with type 2 diabetes, are likely to be currently undiagnosed.³

Most women worldwide experience

symptoms during the menopause transition (perimenopause) or postmenopause. Symptoms are most pronounced during the first four to seven years but can persist for more than a decade, and genitourinary symptoms tend to be progressive. During the menopause transition changes in hormones and body composition increase a woman's overall cardio-metabolic risk, with the resultant increased predisposition to metabolic syndrome, obesity, T2DM and cardiovascular diseases (CVD).⁴

Existing data relating to menopause hormone therapy (MHT), which is considered the first-line treatment for menopause symptoms, are based on research which under-represents women with diabetes and other health issues associated with increased cardio-metabolic risk. There is therefore a dearth of data informing best practice for management of menopause in women with diabetes, with most

recommendations based on extrapolation and inference.⁵

This review aims to evaluate symptoms and health risks in women with menopause and diabetes, to explore the evidence base for managing menopause in women with diabetes, to synthesise existing evidence relating to MHT's clinical indications, efficacy and safety in women with diabetes and to highlight the limitations of existing data.

Menopause symptoms

The cardinal symptoms causally associated with menopause are vasomotor symptoms (VMS), menstrual changes, disrupted sleep and genitourinary symptoms.⁶⁻⁸ Other common symptoms include mood fluctuations, cognitive changes, low sexual desire, musculoskeletal symptoms, bone loss, increase in abdominal fat and adverse changes in metabolic health.⁸ These symptoms and signs can occur in any combination or sequence, and the link to menopause may be elusive. Symptoms associated with the menopause transition can last for several years, with most women experiencing symptoms, and for 25% of women the symptoms are clinically severe.⁸

For most women menopause symptoms are time-limited, but effective treatment support is welcomed by many women at a busy life stage where work, care roles, responsibilities and life stresses often intersect. Intrusive menopause symptoms can negatively impact physical, social, emotional and economic wellbeing and symptom relief can facilitate agency and empowerment.⁹

Management options and support for menopause in women with diabetes

Lifestyle approaches to prevent, treat and reverse chronic disease are essential considerations for both diabetes and menopause care independently, and embody good clinical practice.¹⁰

Just as T2DM may require medication, intrusive menopause symptoms may require treatment, even in women who have addressed lifestyle as best they can. MHT is considered the most

effective currently available treatment for menopause symptoms, with good international consensus.¹¹

Non-hormonal treatment options for menopause are offered second-line for women who are MHT-unsuitable.^{8,12} Cognitive behavioural therapy has efficacy data for relieving menopause symptoms,⁹ and has been endorsed by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). A new and novel treatment targeting the vasomotor menopause symptom mechanism in the hypothalamus has recently been regulator-approved in several countries,¹³ and other similar medications are in the pipeline.¹⁴

Menopause and diabetes: specific health risks and impact of MHT

Dementia risk

Diabetes is independently associated with an increased risk of dementia,¹⁵ and women over 60 are twice as likely to be diagnosed with dementia than men.² Given that rates of dementia are considerably higher in women than men,¹⁶ postmenopausal women with diabetes present a high risk for future dementia. Furthermore T2DM is more strongly associated with dementia mortality compared to non-dementia-related mortality among postmenopausal women.¹⁷

Optimising glycaemic control and addressing cardiometabolic risks through lifestyle and targeted medication currently represent the mainstay of treatment, aiming to reduce future dementia risk post-menopause.¹⁸

The currently available, pooled overall data on dementia risk with MHT amongst generally healthy women show a null effect. No conclusions can be drawn in relation to MHT effect on dementia outcomes.¹⁹

Cardiovascular risk

There is a two to three times higher all-cause and CVD-specific mortality in women with diabetes compared to women without diabetes, independently of ethnicity.²⁰

Modifiable factors that can contribute to increased vascular risk, including smoking, hypertension, hyperlipidaemia

and obesity, should be discussed and addressed in all postmenopausal women.

A Cochrane database systematic review published in 2015 suggested that treatment with MHT in postmenopausal women neither increased nor decreased CVD events overall. However, subgroup analysis demonstrated that MHT started or used by women before the age of 60 years or within 10 years of menopause was associated with a lower mortality, inferring a time window for commencing MHT before vascular disease is established, which may reduce future vascular risk.

Women with multiple CVD risk factors have generally been excluded from MHT RCTs,²¹ and are under-represented in observational studies, which carry healthy-user bias.²² When high-risk women have been included, results have not demonstrated meaningful benefits for chronic disease outcomes and some have shown increased morbidity.^{23,24} Biological vascular ageing is complex and women with significant risk factors may develop progressive vascular disease pre-menopause,^{25,26} suggesting that estrogen may not be protective in high-risk women. Furthermore, MHT-related outcome data for women with diabetes are unknown, therefore age-related guidance on timing of initiation of MHT in relation to likelihood of concurrent established cardiovascular disease should be viewed with caution in women with diabetes.

Women using oral MHT (estrogen alone and estrogen with progestogen) are exposed to a 2–4 fold increased risk of venous thromboembolism (VTE) compared to non-users.²⁷⁻²⁹ This is not true for transdermal estrogen-based MHT.^{30,31} Oral estrogens undergo first-pass hepatic metabolism, activating the coagulation system and increasing liver biosynthesis of procoagulant factors. In contrast, the effects of transdermal estrogen on the liver proteins are neutral.³² Based on accumulated data, the risk of venous thrombosis and embolism is not considered an association with transdermal estrogen-based MHT.³²⁻³⁵

Oral oestrogen is associated with a slight increase in the risk of stroke. Transdermal oestrogen at a dose equivalent to a 50 microgram/day patch does not appear to increase the risk of stroke above a woman's own background risk but doses above this have been associated with an increased stroke risk.³⁶ Therefore, transdermal oestrogen at the lowest effective dose is preferred for women at increased stroke risk.

Breast cancer risk

Women with diabetes have an increased risk of postmenopausal breast cancer.³⁷ Individual risk is also influenced by background breast cancer-associated genetic, environmental and lifestyle factors.³⁸ Postmenopausal obesity, high alcohol intake and smoking independently increase breast cancer risk, and regular physical activity reduces risk.³⁹

Breast cancer risks associated with MHT vary by type, timing and duration of treatment.⁴⁰⁻⁴³ MHT appears to have a lower impact on breast cancer risk in women with overweight/obesity and the greatest impact on breast cancer risk in women of normal weight women.^{44,45} MHT-associated breast cancer risks appear lower with estrogen-only therapy,^{40,42} with the most favourable data relating to the use of conjugated equine estrogens.⁴² There appear to be further breast cancer risk differences based on the progestogen used. Micronised progesterone and dydrogesterone-based MHT combinations have been found to confer lower risks than other progestogen MHT combinations when used in licensed doses,⁴⁶ but data are limited. Tibolone is a gonadomimetic which is effective in managing menopause symptoms and has been associated with a lower risk of primary breast cancer than standard combined MHT.⁴⁷ It also has a lower associated risk of VTE than standard oral combined MHT and improves bone mineral density, but is associated with a high background risk of stroke.^{36,47-50}

Topical vaginal estrogen does not appear to increase the risk of primary breast cancer.^{40,43}

Endometrial cancer risk

Diabetes is associated with an increased risk of endometrial cancer.⁵¹ The association between diabetes and endometrial cancer may in part relate to coexisting obesity.⁵² Obesity is recognized as an independent risk factor for endometrial cancer and is associated with reduced overall survival.⁵³ Endometrial cancer incidence and mortality are rising, and this has been linked with the worldwide obesity epidemic.⁵³

Women with an intact uterus require progestogen as part of MHT for endometrial protection. Some MHT regimens containing micronized progesterone, in regulator-approved doses, may not provide adequate endometrial protection in otherwise healthy women,⁵⁴ and may therefore be inadequate for endometrial protection in women with high endometrial risk, such as women with diabetes.⁵¹

The occurrence of an increase in MHT-associated unscheduled bleeding since a recent rise in MHT uptake outside clinical trials in the UK has necessitated a formal consensus guidance to support clinicians, involving several national UK responsible bodies.⁵⁵ This unexpected rise in unscheduled bleeding in UK women utilising MHT in recent years may relate to the increased use of micronized progesterone for endometrial protection. Another possible contributor is that the characteristics of women accessing MHT in clinical practice may differ from clinical trial participants, with a likely higher occurrence of co-morbidities, reflecting changes in population demography.⁵⁶ Furthermore, given the current high rates of obesity globally,⁵⁶ the presence of obesity and diabetes in women seeking MHT justifies a careful discussion regarding the choice of progestogen for endometrial protection.

Fracture risk

Postmenopausal fracture risk varies by ethnicity. When compared to Caucasian women, Black women have a lower risk and South Asian women a higher background risk of osteoporosis.⁵⁷ There is an overall increased fracture risk associated with diabetes.⁵⁸

A meta-analysis of RCTs assessing

fracture risk in women using oral and transdermal estrogens (with or without the addition of a progestogen) reported a 20% to 37% reduced risk of hip, vertebral and total fracture.⁵⁹ These data demonstrate unequivocal benefits of estrogen-based therapy on bone health. However, as previously stated, women, with complex co-morbidities are not well represented in MHT research, and therefore there are greater outcome uncertainties.⁵ The risk-benefit ratio of MHT is complex, even in healthy women. Despite bone health benefits, MHT is not routinely recommended for disease prevention.^{60,61} This nuance is particularly relevant in women with complex health issues, including many postmenopausal women with diabetes, who may have greater associated risks with MHT with longer-term treatment but also increased risk of osteoporosis and fracture.

Vaginal estrogen therapy

Local vaginal therapy may be used alone or in combination with systemic MHT for genitourinary symptoms, including symptoms of vulvovaginal atrophy (VVA), urinary urgency and recurrent urinary tract infections. Topical vaginal therapies have an overall superior safety and side-effect profile compared to systemic MHT.^{8,62,63}

Systemic progestogen is not required with regulator-approved doses of vaginal estrogen therapy.

Additional considerations in women with diabetes and menopause

If MHT is deemed necessary for menopause symptom control in women with diabetes, judicious tailoring of MHT is essential. As stated in the previous sections, different MHT regimens carry different associated risks. Regimens containing oral estrogen and some systemic high-dose progestogens may increase risk of VTE and stroke, whereas regulator-approved doses of transdermal estrogen and most progestogens in doses approved for endometrial protection do not increase VTE.^{28,64}

Women with multiple cardio-metabolic risk factors, which includes many women with diabetes, may benefit from MHT for treatment of intrusive

menopause symptoms. Recognising, candidly discussing and addressing underlying risk factors should be prioritised if MHT is to be deployed in such women.⁶⁵ Individual MHT-specific risks should be identified to inform the judicious use of tailored MHT regimens. Different MHT doses, formulations and routes of administration have different effects on target organs, allowing many options to minimise individual risks. For example, modest dose transdermal estrogen is neutral to VTE and stroke risk,^{66,67} the transdermal estrogen route is therefore favoured in women with increased vascular risk. In women with diabetes, micronised progesterone may be considered a preferred progestogen for MHT due to its favourable VTE, stroke and breast cancer risk profile. However, such regimens may expose these women to greater endometrial risk.

Women with diabetes are not well represented in MHT research and therefore the long-term effects of modern MHT formulations on such women are unknown. These uncertainties should be shared with women to inform decision-making.

Addressing chronic disease burden through risk-reducing strategies and treatments, including optimising lifestyle, glycaemic and hypertension control, hyperlipidaemia and weight management, should arguably be considered an essential prerequisite in all women with menopause and diabetes, as a foundation for disease treatments, prevention and reversal.²⁰ Depending on specific symptoms and patient preference, non-hormone treatment options may be considered in women who are MHT-unsuitable.⁶⁸ Some women with diabetes may not want or need medication to manage menopause symptoms.

Menopause and diabetes uncertainties

Research evidence for efficacy and safety of MHT has generally been limited to selected groups of women with low overall health risks. Furthermore, the majority of MHT research has included a predominance of Caucasian, socio-economically advantaged women. There is therefore sparse evidence for efficacy and safety in women with high cardiometabolic risk, those from minority ethnic backgrounds and those experiencing socioeconomic adversity. Extrapolating data from historical research trials to the fundamentally higher-risk modern demographics of women may not be accurate, and some evidence suggests that selective interpretation of research is facilitating misinformation about menopause outcomes with MHT.⁶⁹⁻⁷²

There is an unmet need to assess menopause treatments and health outcomes in modern cohorts of women with diabetes accessing menopause care in clinical practice. Whether MHT may impact glycaemic control in women with diabetes is unknown. Optimal regimens of MHT for menopause symptoms in women with diabetes are also unknown. While VTE and stroke risk can be mitigated using selected MHT regimens which carry neutral vascular risk, uncertainties around possible increased breast and endometrial cancer risk in women with diabetes using MHT require further investigation.

Conclusions and future directions

During the last two decades menopause care advances have mainly related to MHT research in women with low rates of underlying disease. There is a dearth of

data assessing symptoms or treatment outcomes in women with diabetes.

The recent increased awareness around menopause and greater prescribing of MHT in clinical practice have highlighted an unmet need to determine menopause-related quality of life, treatment efficacy and health outcomes in women with diabetes.

Large-scale randomised trials using modern formulations of MHT in women with diabetes are unlikely to be funded. Formal collection of real-world evidence through registry data therefore represents the best potential opportunity to capture the menopause experiences and outcomes of women with diabetes among other under-represented groups.⁷³



© 2024. This work is openly licensed via CC BY 4.0.

This license enables reusers to distribute, remix, adapt, and build upon the material in any medium or format, so long as attribution is given to the creator. The license allows for commercial use.

CC BY includes the following elements:

BY – credit must be given to the creator.

Copyright ownership The author(s) retain copyright.

Conflict of interest AM has received honoraria from Astellas, Novartis, Lilly. Unpaid Steering Group Chair, Society for Endocrinology Real World Data Registry

Funding None declared.

References

Available online

Address for correspondence:

Dr Annice Mukherjee
Centre for Intelligent Healthcare, Coventry University, Priory St, Coventry, CV1 5FB, UK
E-mail: annice.mukherjee@coventry.ac.uk

Br J Diabetes 2024;24(2):179-182
<https://doi.org/10.15277/bjd.2024.467>

References

- Global, regional, and national burden of diabetes from 1990 to 2021, with projections of prevalence to 2050: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. *Lancet* 2023;**402**(10397):203-34. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(23\)01301-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(23)01301-6)
- Tomic D, Shaw JE, Magliano DJ. The burden and risks of emerging complications of diabetes mellitus. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2022;**18**(9):525-39. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-022-00690-7>
- Ogurtsova K, Guariguata L, Barengo NC, *et al*. IDF diabetes atlas: global estimates of undiagnosed diabetes in adults for 2021. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2022;**183**:109118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109118>
- Jeong HG, Park H. Metabolic disorders in menopause. *Metabolites* 2022;**12**(10):954. <https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo12100954>
- Mendoza N, Ramirez I, de la Viuda E, *et al*. Eligibility criteria for Menopausal Hormone Therapy (MHT): a position statement from a consortium of scientific societies for the use of MHT in women with medical conditions. MHT Eligibility Criteria Group. *Maturitas* 2022;**166**:65-85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.maturitas.2022.08.008>
- Monteleone P, Mascagni G, Giannini A, Genazzani AR, Simoncini T. Symptoms of menopause - global prevalence, physiology and implications. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2018;**14**(4):199-215. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2017.180>
- Stuenkel CA, Davis SR, Gompel A, *et al*. Treatment of symptoms of the menopause: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2015;**100**(11):3975-4011. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2015-2236>
- Davis SR, Pinkerton J, Santoro N, Simoncini T. Menopause--biology, consequences, supportive care, and therapeutic options. *Cell* 2023;**186**(19):4038-58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2023.08.016>
- Hickey M, LaCroix AZ, Doust J, *et al*. An empowerment model for managing menopause. *Lancet* 2024;**403**(10430):947-57. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(23\)00279-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(23)00279-9)
- Pikula A, Gulati M, Bonnet JP, *et al*. Promise of lifestyle medicine for heart disease, diabetes mellitus, and cerebrovascular diseases. *Mayo Clin Proc Innov Qual Outcomes* 2024;**8**(2):151-65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocpiqo.2023.11.005>
- Hemachandra C, Taylor S, Islam RM, Fooladi E, Davis SR. A systematic review and critical appraisal of menopause guidelines. *BMJ Sex Reprod Health* 2024;**50**(2):122-38. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjshr-2022-202099>
- Crandall CJ, Mehta JM, Manson JE. Management of menopausal symptoms: a review. *JAMA* 2023;**329**(5):405-20. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2022.24140>
- Lederman S, Ottery FD, Cano A, *et al*. Fezolinetant for treatment of moderate-to-severe vasomotor symptoms associated with menopause (SKYLIGHT 1): a phase 3 randomised controlled study. *Lancet* 2023;**401**(10382):1091-102. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(23\)00085-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(23)00085-5)
- Pinkerton JV, Simon JA, Joffe H, *et al*. Elinzanetant for the treatment of vasomotor symptoms associated with menopause: OASIS 1 and 2 Randomized Clinical Trials. *JAMA* 2024;**332**(16):1343-54. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2024.14618>
- Biessels GJ, Despa F. Cognitive decline and dementia in diabetes mellitus: mechanisms and clinical implications. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2018;**14**(10):591-604. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-018-0048-7>
- Gong J, Harris K, Lipnicki DM, *et al*. Sex differences in dementia risk and risk factors: Individual-participant data analysis using 21 cohorts across six continents from the COSMIC consortium. *Alzheimers Dement* 2023;**19**(8):3365-78. <https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.12962>
- Titcomb TJ, Richey P, Casanova R, *et al*. Association of type 2 diabetes mellitus with dementia-related and non-dementia-related mortality among postmenopausal women: a secondary competing risks analysis of the women's health initiative. *Alzheimers Dement* 2024;**20**(1):234-42. <https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.13416>
- Grande G, Qiu C, Fratiglioni L. Prevention of dementia in an ageing world: evidence and biological rationale. *Ageing Res Rev* 2020;**64**:101045. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2020.101045>
- Pertesi S, Coughlan G, Puthusserypady V, Morris E, Hornberger M. Menopause, cognition and dementia - a review. *Post Reprod Health* 2019;**25**(4):200-6. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053369119883485>
- Lambrinoudaki I, Paschou SA, Armeni E, Goulis DG. The interplay between diabetes mellitus and menopause: clinical implications. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2022;**18**(10):608-22. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-022-00708-0>
- Design of the Women's Health Initiative clinical trial and observational study. The Women's Health Initiative Study Group. *Control Clin Trials* 1998;**19**(1):61-109. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0197-2456\(97\)00078-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0197-2456(97)00078-0)
- Matthews KA, Kuller LH, Wing RR, Meilahn EN, Plantinga P. Prior to use of estrogen replacement therapy, are users healthier than nonusers? *Am J Epidemiol* 1996;**143**(10):971-8. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a008678>
- Hulley S, Grady D, Bush T, *et al*. Randomized trial of estrogen plus progestin for secondary prevention of coronary heart disease in postmenopausal women. Heart and Estrogen/progestin Replacement Study (HERS) research group. *JAMA* 1998;**280**(7):605-13. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.288.1.49>
- Grady D, Herrington D, Bittner V, *et al*. Cardiovascular disease outcomes during 6.8 years of hormone therapy: Heart and Estrogen/progestin Replacement Study follow-up (HERS II). *JAMA* 2002;**288**(1):49-57. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.288.1.49>
- García NH, Pérez HA, Spence JD, Armando LJ. Risk of vascular disease in premenopausal women with diabetes mellitus. *Clin Ther* 2014;**36**(12):1924-34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinthera.2014.06.011>
- Yihua L, Yun J, Dongshen Z. Coronary artery disease in premenopausal and postmenopausal women. *Int Heart J* 2017;**58**(2):174-9. <https://doi.org/10.1536/ihj.16-095>
- Rosendaal FR, Van Hylckama Vlieg A, Tanis BC, Helmerhorst FM. Estrogens, progestogens and thrombosis. *J Thromb Haemost* 2003;**1**(7):1371-80. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1538-7836.2003.00264.x>
- Scarabin PY. Hormone therapy and venous thromboembolism among postmenopausal women. *Front Horm Res* 2014;**43**:21-32. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000360554>
- Smith NL, Heckbert SR, Lemaitre RN, *et al*. Esterified estrogens and conjugated equine estrogens and the risk of venous thrombosis. *JAMA* 2004;**292**(13):1581-7. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.292.13.1581>
- Scarabin PY, Oger E, Plu-Bureau G. Differential association of oral and transdermal oestrogen-replacement therapy with venous thromboembolism risk. *Lancet* 2003;**362**(9382):428-32. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(03\)14066-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(03)14066-4)
- Sweetland S, Beral V, Balkwill A, *et al*. Venous thromboembolism risk in relation to use of different types of postmenopausal hormone therapy in a large prospective study. *J Thromb Haemost* 2012;**10**(11):2277-86. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1538-7836.2012.04919.x>
- Kuhl H. Pharmacology of estrogens and progestogens: influence of different routes of administration. *Climacteric* 2005;**8** Suppl 1:3-63. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13697130500148875>
- Canonico M, Plu-Bureau G, Lowe GD,

- Scarabin PY. Hormone replacement therapy and risk of venous thromboembolism in postmenopausal women: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2008;**336**(7655):1227-31. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.39555.441944.BE>
34. Bagot CN, Marsh MS, Whitehead M, *et al.* The effect of estrone on thrombin generation may explain the different thrombotic risk between oral and transdermal hormone replacement therapy. *J Thromb Haemost* 2010;**8**(8):1736-44. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1538-7836.2010.03953.x>
 35. Rovinski D, Ramos RB, Figuera TM, Casanova GK, Spritzer PM. Risk of venous thromboembolism events in postmenopausal women using oral versus non-oral hormone therapy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Thromb Res* 2018;**168**:83-95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.thromres.2018.06.014>
 36. Renoux C, Dell'aniello S, Garbe E, Suissa S. Transdermal and oral hormone replacement therapy and the risk of stroke: a nested case-control study. *BMJ* 2010;**340**:c2519. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c2519>
 37. Hardefeldt PJ, Edirimanne S, Eslick GD. Diabetes increases the risk of breast cancer: a meta-analysis. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 2012;**19**(6):793-803. <https://doi.org/10.1530/ERC-12-0242>
 38. Obeagu EI, Obeagu GU. Breast cancer: A review of risk factors and diagnosis. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2024;**103**(3):e36905. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000036905>
 39. Ban KA, Godellas CV. Epidemiology of breast cancer. *Surg Oncol Clin N Am* 2014;**23**(3):409-22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soc.2014.03.011>
 40. Collaborative group on hormonal factors in breast cancer. Type and timing of menopausal hormone therapy and breast cancer risk: individual participant meta-analysis of the worldwide epidemiological evidence. *Lancet* 2019;**394**(10204):1159-68. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(19\)31709-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31709-X)
 41. Vinogradova Y, Coupland C, Hippisley-Cox J. Use of hormone replacement therapy and risk of breast cancer: nested case-control studies using the QResearch and CPRD databases. *BMJ* 2020;**371**:m3873. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m3873>
 42. Chlebowski RT, Anderson GL, Aragaki AK, *et al.* Association of menopausal hormone therapy with breast cancer incidence and mortality during long-term follow-up of the Women's Health Initiative randomized clinical trials. *JAMA* 2020;**324**(4):369-80. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.9482>
 43. Støer NC, Vangen S, Singh D, *et al.* Menopausal hormone therapy and breast cancer risk: a population-based cohort study of 1.3 million women in Norway. *Br J Cancer* 2024;**131**(1):126-37. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41416-024-02590-1>
 44. Beral V. Breast cancer and hormone-replacement therapy in the Million Women Study. *Lancet* 2003;**362**(9382):419-27. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(03\)14065-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(03)14065-2)
 45. Rossouw JE, Anderson GL, Prentice RL, *et al.* Risks and benefits of estrogen plus progestin in healthy postmenopausal women: principal results from the Women's Health Initiative randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2002;**288**(3):321-33. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.288.3.321>
 46. Fournier A, Berrino F, Clavel-Chapelon F. Unequal risks for breast cancer associated with different hormone replacement therapies: results from the E3N cohort study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2008;**107**(1):103-11. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-007-9523-x>
 47. Cummings SR, Ettinger B, Delmas, *et al.* The effects of tibolone in older postmenopausal women. *N Engl J Med* 2008;**359**(7):697-708. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0800743>
 48. Skouby SO, Sidelmann JJ, Nilas L, Jespersen J. A comparative study of the effect of continuous combined conjugated equine estrogen plus medroxyprogesterone acetate and tibolone on blood coagulability. *Hum Reprod* 2007;**22**(4):1186-91. <https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/del498>
 49. Renoux C, Dell'Aniello S, Suissa S. Hormone replacement therapy and the risk of venous thromboembolism: a population-based study. *J Thromb Haemost* 2010;**8**(5):979-86. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1538-7836.2010.03839.x>
 50. Formoso G, Perrone E, Maltoni S, *et al.* Short-term and long-term effects of tibolone in postmenopausal women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016;**10**(10):Cd008536. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD008536.pub3>
 51. Wang Y, Zeng X, Tan J, Xu Y, Yi C. Diabetes mellitus and endometrial carcinoma: Risk factors and etiological links. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2022;**101**(34):e30299. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000030299>
 52. Luo J, Beresford S, Chen C, *et al.* Association between diabetes, diabetes treatment and risk of developing endometrial cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2014;**111**(7):1432-9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2014.407>
 53. Crosbie EJ, Kitson SJ, McAlpine JN, Mukhopadhyay A, Powell ME, Singh N. Endometrial cancer. *Lancet* 2022;**399**(10333):1412-28. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(22\)00323-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00323-3)
 54. Fournier A, Dossus L, Mesrine S, *et al.* Risks of endometrial cancer associated with different hormone replacement therapies in the E3N cohort, 1992-2008. *Am J Epidemiol* 2014;**180**(5):508-17. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwu146>
 55. Manley K, Hillard T, Clark J, *et al.* Management of unscheduled bleeding on HRT: A joint guideline on behalf of the British Menopause Society, Royal College Obstetricians and Gynaecologists, British Gynaecological Cancer Society, British Society for Gynaecological Endoscopy, Faculty of Sexual and Reproductive Health, Royal College of General Practitioners and Getting it Right First Time. *Post Reprod Health* 2024;**30**(2):95-116. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20533691241254413>
 56. Jaacks LM, Vandevijvere S, Pan A, *et al.* The obesity transition: stages of the global epidemic. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2019;**7**(3):231-40. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587\(19\)30026-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(19)30026-9)
 57. Lo JC, Chandra M, Yang W, *et al.* Challenges of fracture risk assessment in Asian and Black women. *Am J Manag Care* 2024;**30**(3):140-4. <https://doi.org/10.37765/ajmc.2024.89515>
 58. Tomasiuk JM, Nowakowska-Plaza A, Wisłowska M, Głuszko P. Osteoporosis and diabetes - possible links and diagnostic difficulties. *Reumatologia* 2023;**61**(4):294-304. <https://doi.org/10.5114/reum/170048>
 59. Zhu L, Jiang X, Sun Y, Shu W. Effect of hormone therapy on the risk of bone fractures: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Menopause* 2016;**23**(4):461-70. <https://doi.org/10.1097/GME.0000000000000519>
 60. Mangione CM, Barry MJ, Nicholson WK, *et al.* Hormone therapy for the primary prevention of chronic conditions in postmenopausal persons: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. *JAMA* 2022;**328**(17):1740-6. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2022.18625>
 61. Zhang GQ, Chen JL, Luo Y, *et al.* Menopausal hormone therapy and women's health: An umbrella review. *PLoS Med* 2021;**18**(8):e1003731. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003731>
 62. Flores VA, Pal L, Manson JE. Hormone therapy in menopause: concepts, controversies, and approach to treatment. *Endocr Rev* 2021;**42**(6):720-52. <https://doi.org/10.1210/edrev/bnab011>
 63. Biehlic, Plotsker O, Mirkin S. A systematic review of the efficacy and safety of vaginal estrogen products for the treatment of genitourinary syndrome of menopause. *Menopause* 2019;**26**(4):431-53. <https://doi.org/10.1097/GME.0000000000001221>
 64. Scarabin PY. Progestogens and venous

- thromboembolism in menopausal women: an updated oral versus transdermal estrogen meta-analysis. *Climacteric* 2018;**21**(4):341-5. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13697137.2018.1446931>
65. Lopez-Jimenez F, Almahmeed W, Bays H, *et al.* Obesity and cardiovascular disease: mechanistic insights and management strategies. A joint position paper by the World Heart Federation and World Obesity Federation. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2022; **29**(17):2218-37. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurjpc/zwac187>
66. Vinogradova Y, Coupland C, Hippisley-Cox J. Use of hormone replacement therapy and risk of venous thromboembolism: nested case-control studies using the QResearch and CPRD databases. *BMJ* 2019;**364**:k4810. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.k4810>
67. Morris G, Talaulikar V. Hormone replacement therapy in women with history of thrombosis or a thrombophilia. *Post Reprod Health* 2023;**29**(1):33-41. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20533691221148036>
68. Davis SR, Baber RJ. Treating menopause - MHT and beyond. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2022;**18**(8):490-502. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-022-00685-4>
69. Weiss R. Menopause and social media: pros and cons for the general public. *Maturitas* 2023;**174**:67-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.maturitas.2023.02.006>
70. Kauffman RP, MacLaughlin EJ, Courtney LA, Vineyard DD. Fear, misinformation, and pharmaceutical messianism in the promotion of compounded bioidentical hormone therapy. *Front Reprod Health* 2024;**6**:1378644. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frph.2024.1378644>
71. The Lancet (Editorial). Time for a balanced conversation about menopause. *Lancet* 2024;**403**(10430):877. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(24\)00462-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00462-8)
72. Hamoda H, Mukherjee A, Morris E, *et al.* Optimising the menopause transition: Joint position statement by the British Menopause Society, Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists and Society for Endocrinology on best practice recommendations for the care of women experiencing the menopause. *Post Reprod Health* 2022;**28**(3):121-2. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20533691221104882>
73. Panay N, Ang SB, Cheshire R, Goldstein SR, Maki P, Nappi RE. Menopause and MHT in 2024: addressing the key controversies - an International Menopause Society White Paper. *Climacteric* 2024;**27**(5):441-57. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1697137.2024.2394950>